

(Title)— Replace all headings in parentheses with detailed titles that match your experiment, and delete all red comments before turning in

(Name, Date, Course)

Purpose Question: Specific Question with a MEASURABLE outcome

Independent Variable: Manipulated factor which YOU set the parameters for

Dependent Variable: Measured Variable

Hypothesis: This is not a requirement, but does help when it comes to writing the conclusion

Controlled variables:

List all factors, minimum of 5, which could SIGNIFICANTLY affect your experiment and describe how you have either attempted to control them or how you will be monitoring them. Note: these are great to help you identify sources of error later in the report.

Variable	How this experiment will control or monitor it

Materials:

Procedure:

Write for a peer, another Chemistry student. Include a diagram if possible (be sure to cite any copied diagrams- in a subtitle is fine)

Include at least 5 levels of the independent variable, and at least 3 trials at each level; 5 trials is ideal.

Diagram:

(Data Table- meaningful title)

A hand-written data table or printed/written is fine

Your lab group **can't** have the same table

Label all columns and rows plus give the table a meaningful title.

Include all units and uncertainties- in the column/row label if everything in the section is the same.

Record and report every measured value

Include QUALITATIVE data in or below the table

Report all significant figures, which you may need to adjust if using Excel

Calculations

You have to calculate something, average as the absolute minimum, to answer the purpose question

Show work for at least 1 sample calculation and clearly label where every number came from. The easiest way to do that is to provide a word formula (ex: density = mass/volume)

Include uncertainties on the final answer and show your work for the uncertainty

(Graph- meaningful title)

Must have proper labels and titles on the graph- including units

Graph should clearly answer purpose question

Make sure you have (1) correct sig figs (2) only processed data (3) error bars- which you may need to draw in if all values do not have the same error (4) independent variable on x axis, dependent on the y

Conclusion:

Your actual conclusion is a simple statement that rephrases your purpose question- bam.

Justification= (1) discuss the uncertainties (error bars), (2) consistency of data, (3) % error and/or literature values if applicable and (4) how your result matches theory- the Chemistry involved

Sources of Error and Improvements:

At least 4 significant sources of systematic error, in other words issues with the procedure NOT mistakes or instrument issues.

For each, explain how the issue would affect your final value (increase, decrease, or both-- but avoid having too many errors which could skew your data both up and down because those are usually weak sources of error)

Consider your qualitative data, controlled variables, any assumptions made during the experiment, and any portion which required human judgement

Address each source of error (if possible) with a suggested improvement- a specific amendment to the procedure

Never say "more time" or "better equipment" or "be more careful"

Weakness/Source of Error	How it could skew the final result	Suggested Procedural Improvement

Aspect 1: Concluding		CE
<input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion clearly stated using results to answer original aim <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation should include observations, patterns or trends revealed by data <input type="checkbox"/> Systematic or random errors should be considered when justifying conclusion <input type="checkbox"/> Direction of any systematic errors should be commented on	<input type="checkbox"/> Where appropriate, the value of a known physical quantity should be compared with the literature value and literature source referenced <input type="checkbox"/> % exp. Error should be compared with the total estimated error as derived from propagation of uncertainties.	
Aspect 2: Evaluating procedure(s)		CE
<input type="checkbox"/> Comment on the design and method of the investigation <input type="checkbox"/> Comment on precision and accuracy of your measurements <input type="checkbox"/> List the weaknesses and their significance (3 good ones)		
Aspect 3: Improving the investigation		CE
<input type="checkbox"/> Suggestions for improvements based on the weaknesses and limitations identified in aspect 2 (3 good ones) <input type="checkbox"/> Suggest how to reduce random error and remove systematic error and achieve greater control of variables <input type="checkbox"/> Modifications should address precision, accuracy and reproducibility of results	<input type="checkbox"/> Modifications are realistic and clearly specified. <input type="checkbox"/> Modifications to exp. techniques and data range addressed.	

Adapted from Stephen Taylor (After John Burrell's Rubric: <http://click4biology.info/index.htm>) and other <http://sciencevideos.wordpress.com>

Aspect 1: Recording raw data	DCP
<input type="checkbox"/> Quantitative data recorded clearly with units and uncertainties <input type="checkbox"/> Numerical data is recorded clearly in a table with headings <input type="checkbox"/> Sig figs must be consistent in data <input type="checkbox"/> Sig figs must be consistent in the stated uncertainties <input type="checkbox"/> Qualitative data recorded clearly <input type="checkbox"/>	
Aspect 2: Processing raw data	DCP
<input type="checkbox"/> Has processed raw data correctly <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Data manipulated to determine value of a physical quantity ▪ Readings have been averaged ▪ Data has been transformed into a suitable form for graphing ▪ Graph has been plotted and slope determined from best-fit line 	
Aspect 3: Presenting processed data	DCP
<input type="checkbox"/> Suitable presentation format <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Table, chart, graph, spreadsheet etc <input type="checkbox"/> Graphs have appropriate scales, labeled axes with units and points plotted accurately with suitable best-fit line or curve. <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertainties must be propagated <input type="checkbox"/> Final derived quantity has clear metric/SI units and expressed to correct number of SF <input type="checkbox"/> Presentation for all data is clear with all stages shown	

Adapted from Stephen Taylor (After John Burrell's Rubric: <http://click4biology.info/index.htm>) and other <http://sciencevideos.wordpress.com>

